

dCache, ready for the LHC production and analysis ?

Patrick Fuhrmann et al.



additional funding, support or contributions by



P. Fuhrmann

Chep 09



Head of dCache.ORG Patrick Fuhrmann Core Team (Desy, Fermi, NDGF) Andrew Baranovski Gerd Behrmann **Bjoern Boettcher Ted Hesselroth** Alex Kulyavtsev Iryna Koslova Tanya Levshina **Dmitri Litvintsev** David Melkumyan Paul Millar **Owen Synge** Neha Sharma Vladimir Podstavkov Tatjana Baranova

N.N. : Hiring ...

P. Fuhrmann

Chep 09

Head of Development FNAL : Timur Perelmutov Head of Development DESY : Tigran Mkrtchyan Head of Development NDGF:

Gerd Behrmann

External

Development

Abhishek Singh Rana, SDSC Jonathan Schaeffer, IN2P3

Support and Help

German HGF Support Team Flavia Donno, CERN Akos Frohner



Quick reminder on what dCache.org does.

Quick reminder on dCache highlights (in a nutshell).

Ongoing work to improve dCache within the overall WLCG data management community. Learning by doing.

Ongoing work in terms of standardisation.

Some remarks on analysis

Some fun

A summary



How is dCache.org structured?

P. Fuhrmann

Chep 09



dCache.org is independent of the LCG, OSG organisational structures and funding.

This made us some kind of 'aliens' in the past but it will be appreciated by dCache users in the future when EGEE X will phase out and the EGI and UMD are still not properly set up.

All three major partners in the dCache collaboration, FERMIlab, NDGF and DESY, of which two are WLCG Tier I's, highly depend on the product themselves and have been building a whole infrastructure around it.

So, whatever happens with other middle-ware components, as long as the dCache technology makes sense, there will be powerful and sustained support.

dCache.ORG



dCache.org : sustained and independent funding

dCache.ORG

Beside :

8 out of 11 Tier I centers are using dCache as well as some 40 larger Tier II's. The dCache.org funding bodies understand the responsibility. (Follow up MoU in preparation)

The dCache Tier I workshop in January has been very promising in terms that other Tier I's would be willing to contribute if a framework is found in which this can be done.

In Germany a 'Storage Support Group' has been set up, funded by the German Government, which builds up dCache knowledge. They support the German site but contribute to documentation and training. in general, e.g. Chimera and dCache ACL workshop in April.



Although different dCache components are provided by different LAB's there is a central 'virtual' place which makes it a single product.

- •The consequences are :
 - All components fit together naturally, *no patchwork, one design*.
 - At least once per week phone conferences on *compatibility*, testing, release strategy and internal certification.
 - Although each lab mainly supports its own components we have a *centralised support system*, which find the appropriate person for you.
 - Single place for download and documentation.
 - Consistent release strategy and release notes on all components at a single place.
 - dCache.org interacts with *gLite and VDT* and the national support teams. (certification and testing)



dCache in a nutshell.

With a focus on 'managed storage'.





dCache characteristics : names and files

- Strict name space and data storage separation, allowing
 - consistent name space operations (mv, rm, mkdir e.t.c)
 - consistent access control per directory resp. file
 - managing *multiple internal and external* copies of the same file
 - convenient name space management by *nfs* (or ftp, SRM)



















P. Fuhrmann

Chep 09



dCache characteristics : managed storage

Building a highly distributed system (NDGF)

- > 4 Countries, one dCache instance.
- At any time a country may 'go down' though raw data storage proceeds.



Chep 09



Ongoing improvements

with the rest of the WLCG storage crowd.

Thanks to Flavia, Ákos, Andrea, Tanja, Jean-Philippe and many many more.



Learning by doing ...

This is the first time we built a data grid of that size, composed of a variety of different storage systems.

We had to understand how those components (SE's) interact globally.

dCache.ORG

The SRM, supposed to solve this problem, has only be a partial success but has been a lesson. (A rather expensive one though).



- •SRM has at least two duties :
 - * Serve user requests as fast as possible.
 - Protect back-end storage system from overload.
- •And two problems :
 - It doesn't do either.
 - * Implementation problem
 - Protocol interaction problem
 - * To much of an abstraction (Graeme S.).



How do we improve ?



Obvious improvements : Make the back-end faster. Faster name space : **Chimera** instead of pnfs.

pnfs id + storage info lookup

NDGF converted successfully last week.

525 500 475 450 425 400 375 Stolen from Gerd 350 325 PNFS 300 275 Chimera 1/s 250 225 200 175 150 125 100 75 50 25 0 -1 1,5 2,5 3,5 4,5 5 Threads 5,5 6,5 7,5 8,5 3

dCache system can now be modified to support bulk operations on the name space level, which would make better use of SRM bulk requests.

dCache.ORG

P. Fuhrmann

Chep 09



Implementation independent improvements.

(This is a collaborative effort)

SRM_INTERNAL_ERROR

Inform the client that we are currently really busy and that we would appreciate if it would back off for a moment.

Request Lifetime

If client and server would agree (in advance) on the maximum time before both time-out a request, unnecessary requests wouldn't have to be processed.

Asynchronous SRM ls

The server may queue the request and proceed with light weight requests (e.g. get status)



Implementation **dependent** modifications :

Faster name space (pnfs to Chimera)

Stolen from Timur

High CPU load due to GSI Authentication and Credential Delegation.

- Cache public and private key pairs used in GSI authentication and handshake.
- * Work with Globus on improvements.
- * Consider https as a long term solution.



Mid term improvements (Data taking phase)

Stolen from Timur

Scalability

- SRM is a single point of entry into a storage
 - Natural bottleneck
 - Single point of failure
- Distributed SRM
 - Scalable
 - More reliable



There is a poster on his topics.



File system ACL's will be in 1.9.3

We are working on documentation.

There will be a work shop on ACL's (and chimera) beginning of April in Aachen.



Standardisation efforts

P. Fuhrmann

Chep 09



Collaborative effort within WLCG

SynCat

Standardised SE name space dump for synchronising file catalogues.

dCache.org took a leading role in this effort.

There is a poster on his or just find Paul.

GLUE 1.3 and 2.0

dCache.org is actively participating.



dCache.ORG

- > NFS 4.1(pNFS) is aware of distributed data.
- » NFS 4.1 (pNFS) is an IETF standard.
- POSIX Clients are coming for free. No preload, no relinking.
 (provided by all major OS vendors).
- Widely adopted by major storage hardware vendors.
- Will make dCache attractive to other (non-LHC) applications and communities.
- LCG could consider to drop LHC specific protocols, to avoid manoeuvring ourselves into a technological corner.



NFS 4.1 : technical perspective

- » NFS 4.1 is aware of distributed data
- Faster (optimized) e.g.:
 - Compound RPC calls
 - e.g. : 'Stat' produces 3 RPC calls in v3 but only one in v4
- > GSS authentication
 - > Built-in mandatory security on file system level
- > ACL's
- > dCache can keep track on client operations
 - > OPEN / CLOSE semantic (so system can keep track on open files)
 - > 'DEAD' client discovery (by client to server pings)
- smart client caching.

dCache.ORG



NFS 4.1 in dCache : technically



P. Fuhrmann

Chep 09



About analysis ...

P. Fuhrmann

Chep 09



Are we ready for analysis ? I don't know.

We couldn't find reliable requirements yet. We need sample analysis jobs for creating a matrix. It doesn't look bad as far as we can say now.

We'll concentrate on this, but we need feedback from sites.



Results from the HEPIX storage working group.

Stolen from Andrei Maslennikov's presentation at the Fall HEPIX 2008



Quote Andrei "In this summary graph, Lustre seems to be almost twice as efficient compared to the other methods. As AFS, dCache and xroot seem to be very close to each other, they may have had a common blocking factor such as the local file system (and NOT the data access protocol)"

Atlas Hammer Cloud results

dccp

dCap

Running dCache/dccp/dCap at DESY Zeuthen

CPU/Walltime

DESY-HH MCDISK

 $\mu = 41.3$

 $\sigma = 24.3$

Site CPU/Walltime

DESY-ZN MCDISK

 $\mu = 98.9$

 $\sigma = 9.1$

Site Events/Second



Prague, Mar 26, 2009

P. Fuhrmann



CPU/Walltime







dCache @ Amazon

P. Fuhrmann

Chep 09





1-2 Million Dollars for the DESY Altas Tier II (SE) per year.

P. Fuhrmann

Chep 09



- dCache.org organisational structure is well suited for long term usage.
- dCache is an integrated solution with a broad spectrum of activities.
- dCache is managed storage on the large scale.
- In various data challenges we have shown that we can sustain the requested data rates.
- We are very active in standardisation efforts. We believe this is the only way, LHC doesn't end up in a technological corner.
- Analysis hasn't been our focus up to know. It doesn't look bad but there
 - is certainly room to improve.



Further reading

www.dCache.ORG