NDGF contributions to dCache


Gerd Behrmann NDGF dCache tutorial Copenhagen, 27th of March 2007





dCache at NDGF

- One uniform dCache spanning all sites
- dCache pools operated by site owner





Properties of WAN

- Limited bandwidth
- High latency
- Frequent network failures
- Spanning many administrative domains



Challenges

- Security
 - Many administrative domains
 - Local and national rules
 - Internal node communication over WAN
 - Mounting NFS over WAN is out of the question

Administration

- Site administrators are worried about loosing control
- Mechanisms for delegating control over local ressources



Challenges

- Maintenance
 - Platform (SL is not widely used in NorduGrid)
 - Upgradability
 - Autonomeous operation
- Reliability
 - dCache is fairly resilient against pool failures
 - Head nodes provide central point of failure
 - Network saparation in WAN
 - Disconnected operation (at least read-only)
 - Long term hope that dCache becomes less centralised




Challenges

- Performance
 - No network model
 - e.g. SRM door assummes all GridFTP doors are equal (except for current load)
 - Proxy operation of GridFTP
- Functionality
 - HSM without PNFS (dCache 1.8)
 - Heterogenous access to HSM
 - Stage-in must happen to connected pool
 - **Tivoli (TSM) integration**
 - User friendly view of logical name space without PNFS (beyond ngls)




FTP Transfers

Passive servers






FTP in dCache







Active transfers in dCache


























- Enhanced FTP for grid defined in GFD-20.
- Introduced the "Extended block mode"
 Parallel transfers
 - Spanning
- Reliably shutting down multiple data channels is tricky...
- ... mode E contains a known race condition
- ... work around documented in the specification limits the sender to be the active party
- Thus for uploads, we are always faced with the issue of passive transfers!









































- Defined in GFD-R-P.047 draft specification Mandrichenko, Allcock, Perelmutov
- OGF recommendation
- Solves many of the problems of GridFTP 1
 GETPUT solves PASV/STOR problem
 MODEX solves race condition in mode E
 Checksums on blocks or whole files
 Multiplex transfers on data channels

































What do we implement?

- Solution A
 - Bypasses FTP door, thus less components involved and reduced risk for failures
 - If pool is busy, SRM door can tell the client to wait
 - Changes in many components required
- Solution B: GridFTP2
 - Draft status. Some clarifications needed, IMO.
 - No signs of progress since June 2005.
 - No implementations, except
 - dCache head has GETPUT and MODEX
 - Globus patch is under development and Globus people are possitive about the patch

NORDIC DATAGRID FACILITY Do we need the socket adapter?




NORDIG DATAGRID FACILITY Do we need the socket adapter?






Adapters






Adapters





Cell communication over WAN





Why we don't have tape yet








Pool



Why we don't have tape yet








Pool



Why we don't have tape yet







- WAN deployment of dCache at the NDGF distributed Tier 1
- Provides unique and interesting problems
- NDGF is committed to contribute to dCache to resolve these problems ... and continue to do so as long as we have the need and dCache continues to move in "the right direction".
- Current focus is on immediate problems with data flow, HSM, security and administration.